1 2 3 4	BRIAN T. HAFTER (State Bar No. 173151, b STEEFEL, LEVITT & WEISS A Professional Corporation One Embarcadero Center, 30th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3719 Telephone: (415) 788-0900 Facsimile: (415) 788-2019	hafter@steefel.com)	
5	LAUREN REITER BRODY		
6	(Pro Hac Vice, lbrody@torys.com) CHRISTOPHER M. CAPARELLI		
7	(Pro Hac Vice, ccaparelli@torys.com) TORYS LLP		
8	237 Park Avenue New York, NY 10017		
9	Telephone: (212) 880-6000 Facsimile: (212) 682-0200		
10	Attorneys for Defendants		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	OAKLAND DIVISION		
15	DONALD H. PUTNAM, an individual,	CASE NO. C 05 1330 CW	
16	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER ADJOURNING HEARING DATE AND	
17	v.	DATE OF THE FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	
18	PUTNAM LOVELL GROUP NBF SECURITIES, INC., a Delaware		
19	corporation, and NATIONAL BANK OF		
20	CANADA, a Canadian chartered bank, NATIONAL BANK FINANCIAL, INC., a		
21	Quebec corporation; and DOES 1-20, inclusive,		
22	Defendants.		
23	Defendants.		
24			
25	WHEREAS, pursuant to stipulations of the parties, this Court ordered, on March		
26	17, 2006, that the hearing date of defendants' motion to dismiss plaintiff's first amended		
27	complaint is adjourned to April 7, 2006, and the discovery cut-off dates are vacated and are to be		
28	re-set at a Further Case Management Conference, currently scheduled for May 12, 2006, but to be		

United States District Judge

held on April 7, 2006, should the Court desire oral argument on defendants' motion to dismiss	the	
amended complaint;		
WHEREAS, an extended outage of defendants' computer network has interfered	ed	
with, and delayed, completion of defendants' reply papers on their motion to dismiss;		
WHEREAS, in view of the computer problems, and to enable defendants to		
prepare their reply papers, the parties have agreed to adjourn the date of the hearing on the mo	otion	
to dismiss, in compliance with this Court's Rules;		
IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, as follows:		
1. The hearing date for defendants' motion to dismiss plaintiff's amended		
complaint shall be adjourned to April 21, 2006.		
2. The parties agree that, if the Court's calendar allows, it would be		
expeditious to hold the Further Case Management Conference on April 21, 2006 as well, rather	er	
than on the currently-set date of May 12, 2006, so that new discovery cut-off dates can be set.		
3. Accordingly, the parties hereby stipulate that should the Court desire oral		
argument on defendants' motion to dismiss the amended complaint, the Further Case		
Management Conference shall also be held on April 21, 2006, otherwise it will take place on May		
12, 2006 as initially scheduled.		
Dated: March 23, 2006 TORYS LLP		
By: <u>/s/ Lauren Reiter Brody</u> Lauren Reiter Brody		
Attorneys for Defendants		
Dated: March 23, 2006 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP		
By: <u>/s/ Christopher C. Kearney</u> Christopher C. Kearney		
Attorneys for Plaintiff		
So Ordered:		
/s/ CLAUDIA WILKEN Claudia Wilken		